## COMMAND ASSESSOR BET GUIDELINES



|                          |  | BASIC (0 - 3)  | EFFICIENT (4 -7)   | THOROUGH (8 - 10)   |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| INITIAL TEAM APPROACH    | RISK ASSESS HAZARDS<br>IDENTIFIED  | Safety critical hazards missed, not eliminated, isolated or removed  | All hazards identified but not effectively managed   | All hazards identified and managed thoroughly (eliminate, isolate, remove or safe system of work)   |
|                          | FULL INNER AND OUTER<br>SURVEY & INFO GATHERED                           | No effort to manage scene survey and act on information gathered.  | Management of inner and outer scene survey is<br>somewhat "mechanical" with poor information<br>exchange.  | Well organised and thorough inner and outer survey<br>with complete and thorough information exchange.  |
|                          | INITIAL PRIORITIES GIVEN TO<br>TEAM                                      | Initial priorities not given clearly or not recognised and acted upon  | Slow to identify initial priorities or not all acted upon or not in a timely manner  | Initial priorities identified and acted upon thoroughly   |
|                          | ESTABLISH DEGREE OF<br>ENTRAPMENT  | Degree of entrapment not identified at all   | Degree of entrapment is partially identified and<br>included late in the evolution after appropriate plan<br>has been developed  | Degree of entrapment is thoroughly assessed and<br>factored into overall extrication plan.  |
| PLANNING & COMMUNICATION | EXTRICATION PLANNING   | No clear plan developed, IC becomes too focused on<br>a single plan with no attempt to alter plan in time of<br>difficulties. No emergency plan detailed   | Full plan and an emergency route is outlined. IC modifies<br>plan after encountering some difficulty but is slow to<br>recognise problems. Plan is re-assessed only in<br>anticipation of problems     | Plan developed with awareness of access,<br>extrication and pathway options. Demonstration that<br>an emergency route is available and viable.  |
|                          | COMMUNICATION & TEAM<br>MANAGEMENT                                       | IC overbearing towards team. No<br>consultation, fails to listen and liaise<br>with team   | IC has limited discussion with team or<br>consults excessively   | IC consults and liaises with team and<br>considers suggestions,   |
|                          | INSTRUCTIONS ACTED ON &<br>UNDERSTOOD                                    | Instructions are ignored or not<br>understood by team  | IC gives clear instructions to team but<br>does not confirm if team has clear<br>understanding   | IC effectively communicates and<br>ensures all instructions are clearly<br>understood.  |
|                          | CASUALTY AWARENESS AT<br>ALL TIMES                                       | IC fails to consider impact on casualty and does not<br>ensure team is actively advising casualty and medic<br>before actions are taken.                   | Communications not consistent throughout scenario<br>resulting in some activities being carried out without<br>awareness of casualty.  | IC's concern for the safety and welfare<br>of the casualty is clearly evident by the<br>instructions given.   |
| INCIDENT COMMAND         | COMMAND & LEADERSHIP   | IC allows team members to take<br>control and is not strong in the<br>controlling of the scenario  | IC's skills are clearly recognisable, some instances<br>occur where focus is lost or issues missed.  | Thorough command skills demonstrated throughout the scenario.   |
|                          | GOOD OVERALL<br>POSITIONING  | IC not well positioned to manage team activities   | Good position is taken but not maintained throughout the scenario  | Maintains good overall positioning to<br>effectively monitor and manage team<br>throughout scenario.  |
|                          | TECHNIQUE MANAGEMENT   | IC does not ensure actions are completed systematically<br>and efficiently to achieving the plan.<br>No simultaneous activity with some resources delayed. | Choice of techniques, tools and equipment is consistent with<br>achieving plan.<br>Direction of team activities is systematic and consistent with<br>techniques chosen, limited simultaneous activity. | All actions contribute to achieving the objective as<br>systematically and efficiently as possible.<br>Significant simultaneous activity demonstrated with no<br>delays in obtaining resources. |
|                          | PLAN PROGRESSION   | No attempt to follow initial plan with<br>little achieved. No fore thought as<br>scenario progresses.  | Plan followed, with partial plan<br>achieved. Little fore thought as<br>scenario progresses.   | Initial plan followed and progressive plans adopted<br>and achieved as scenario progresses.   |
| SAFETY                   | USE OF PPE   | IC has little or no concern for the use of<br>PPE by team and does not encourage<br>team to use equipment  | IC is aware of team safety and<br>intermittently ensures use of<br>appropriate PPE.  | IC effectively controls safety and<br>ensures all team make full use of PPE<br>at all times   |
|                          | MAINTAINS A SAFE<br>WORKING AREA   | Critical safety hazards/aspects are missed during the<br>scenario which impacts on both the casualty and<br>team   | All safety hazards/aspects are taken<br>into account but not all dealt with<br>efficiently   | All hazards clearly identified and managed<br>efficiently. Clear focus on creating a safe work<br>environment.  |
|                          | CONTROL OF EXTRICATION<br>PHASE (In Controlled Max 5<br>pts per Patient) | IC does not clearly identify the medical extrication<br>phase of incident. Confusion with team members<br>assuming control of casualty movement.           | IC does not clearly identify the medical extrication<br>phase of incident, confusion re who is in control<br>during movement.  | IC clearly identifies medic in control of casualty<br>packaging and movements. IC has control of overall<br>extrication.  |
|                          | CONTROLS ALL ASPECTS OF<br>SAFETY & WELFARE                              | Team is not rotated at all or only when they request<br>it. No compliance with safe work practices. Manual<br>handling managed poorly.                     | Team is rotated occasionally. Some attention is paid<br>to manual handling and safe work practices but not<br>consistently throughout scenario.  | IC ensures team is rotated regularly and continually<br>monitor each other for compliance with safe work<br>practices. Manual handling is well managed.   |
| SUPPORT                  | RESOURCE MANAGEMENT  | Lack of pre planning, resulting in delays or minimal<br>efficiency of resources, equipment and procedures.   | Operations co-ordinated at times with<br>little delay of resources, equipment and<br>procedures.   | All decisions made in a timely and efficient manner<br>ensuring the efficient use of resources, equipment<br>and procedures.  |
|                          | MOTIVATION & MOMENTUM  | IC does not encourage team. Tempo<br>and momentum is slow throughout the<br>scenario.  | IC provides some encouragement and motivation to<br>team, but a good tempo & momentum is not<br>maintained throughout scenario.  | IC effectively motivates and encourages team. Good<br>tempo and momentum is maintained throughout the<br>scenario.  |
|                          | MINIMUM HANDS ON   | IC becomes task focused and loses<br>command overview for the majority of<br>the scenario  | IC becomes task focused from time to time. Continually assisting with major technical tasks.   | IC does not become task focused and<br>assists only where and when<br>appropriate.  |
|                          | MINIMUM ON SCENE<br>COACHING/TEACHING                                    | IC lacks confidence in team and gets too involved in specific techniques or actions  | IC demonstrates confidence in the team but on occasions is focused on coaching specific techniques   | IC demonstrates full confidence in team who carry out all tasks with little or no additional direction.   |